

# MATHEMATICS

## ON SOME SPECIAL TYPES OF EXPOSED POINTS OF CLOSED AND BOUNDED SETS IN BANACH SPACES. I

BY

MICHAEL EDELSTEIN

(Communicated by Prof. H. D. KLOOSTERMAN at the meeting of January 29, 1966)

1. Let  $S$  be a closed and bounded set in a metric space  $(X, d)$ . A point  $s \in S$  is said to be boundedly exposed, or to belong to  $b(S)$ , if and only if an open ball  $B = B(c, r) = \{x | d(x, c) < r\}$  exists in  $X - \{s\}$  such that  $S - \{s\} \subset B$  and  $s \in \bar{B} - B$ . A point  $s \in S$  is said to be  $\sigma$ -boundedly exposed, or to belong to  $\sigma b(S)$  if a sequence of open balls  $B_n = B(c_n, r_n)$  exists in  $X - \{s\}$  with the following properties:

$$(1.1) \quad B_n \subset B_{n+1}, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots);$$

$$(1.2) \quad \text{the diameter of } S - B_n \text{ tends to zero as } n \text{ increases};$$

$$(1.3) \quad s \in \text{bdry} \left( \bigcup_1^\infty B_n \right).$$

Obviously a boundedly exposed point is  $\sigma$ -boundedly exposed. If  $X$  is a normed linear space then, since  $\bigcup_1^\infty B_n$  is both open and convex, it follows from (1.3) that any  $\sigma$ -boundedly exposed point of  $S$  is actually an exposed point of  $S$ .

It is the main purpose of this note to show that in a Banach space satisfying suitable convexity and smoothness conditions  $\sigma b(S) \neq \phi$ , and in the special case of a Hilbert space even  $b(S) \neq \phi$ . To this end we now define the two properties of normed spaces that will be used in the hypotheses of Theorem 1.

2. A normed linear space  $X$  is said to have property  $(UD)$  if for any  $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon \leq 2$ , there is an  $\eta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that if  $f$  is any continuous linear functional on  $X$  with  $\|f\| = 1$  then the diameter of the set

$$E(f, \delta) = \{x | f(x) \geq 1 - \delta \text{ and } \|x\| \leq 1\}$$

does not exceed  $\varepsilon$  whenever  $\delta \leq \eta(\varepsilon)$ ; (Cf. [2, p. 113]). RUSTON [5] proved that  $(UD)$  is equivalent to uniform convexity [1].

A normed linear space  $X$  is said to have property  $(I)$  if every bounded closed convex set in  $X$  can be represented as the intersection of a family of closed balls; (Cf. [4, p. 976]).

PHELPS [4]<sup>1)</sup> strengthened a result of MAZUR [3] according to which all reflexive Banach spaces having a strongly differentiable norm have property (I).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $X$  is a Banach space having both property (UD) and (I). If  $S$  is a nonempty closed and bounded set in  $X$  then  $\sigma b(S) \neq \phi$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\bar{c}_1$  be an arbitrary point of  $X$  and suppose  $\bar{r}_1$  is the smallest number for which  $C_1 = C(\bar{c}_1, \bar{r}_1) = \{x \mid \|x - \bar{c}_1\| \leq \bar{r}_1\}$  contains  $S$ . If  $S$  is a singleton the theorem is trivially true; otherwise  $\bar{r}_1 > 0$ . Assuming this let  $\delta_1 = \eta \left( \frac{d}{2\bar{r}_1} \right)$ , where  $d = \text{diam}(S)$ , and choose  $x_1 \in S$  so that  $\|x_1 - \bar{c}_1\| \geq r_1 \left( 1 - \frac{\delta_1}{2} \right)$ . Let  $f_1$  be a continuous linear functional with  $f_1 \left( \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{c}_1}{\|\bar{x}_1 - \bar{c}_1\|} \right) = 1 = \|f_1\|$ . If  $D_1 = \bar{r}_1 E(f, \delta) + \bar{c}_1$  then, it is readily seen that  $D_1 \subset C_1$ ,  $\text{diam}(D_1) \leq d/2$  and  $x_1 \notin \overline{C_1 - D_1}$ . Since  $\overline{C_1 - D_1}$  is closed, bounded and convex property (I) implies the existence of  $r_1 > 0$  and  $c_1 \in X$  such that the open ball  $B_1 = B(c_1, r_1) \supset \overline{C_1 - D_1}$  and  $x_1 \notin \bar{B}_1$ . Since  $S - B_1 \subset D_1$  we have  $\text{diam}(S - B_1) \leq d/2$ .

Suppose that  $B_n = B(c_n, r_n)$  and  $x_n \in S$  are already defined so that  $\text{diam}(S - B_n) \leq d/2^n$ ,  $x_n \notin \bar{B}_n$  and (if  $n \geq 2$ )  $B_{n-1} \subset B_n$ . Then we proceed to define  $B_{n+1}$  and  $x_{n+1}$  as follows.  $C_{n+1}$  will be the closed ball  $C(\bar{c}_{n+1}, \bar{r}_{n+1})$  centered at  $\bar{c}_{n+1} = c_n$  with  $\bar{r}_{n+1}$  the smallest radius for which  $C_{n+1} \supset S$ ;

$\delta_{n+1} = \min \left( \frac{d}{2^{n+1} \bar{r}_{n+1}}, \frac{\bar{r}_{n+1} - r_n}{\bar{r}_{n+1}} \right)$  and  $x_{n+1}$  is a point of  $S$  with

$$\|x_{n+1} - \bar{c}_{n+1}\| \geq \bar{r}_{n+1} \left( 1 - \frac{\delta_{n+1}}{2} \right).$$

If  $f_{n+1}$  is a continuous linear functional satisfying

$$f_{n+1} \left( \frac{x_{n+1} - \bar{c}_{n+1}}{\|x_{n+1} - \bar{c}_{n+1}\|} \right) = 1 = \|f_{n+1}\| \quad \text{and} \quad D_{n+1} = \bar{r}_{n+1} E(f_{n+1}, \delta_{n+1}) + \bar{c}_{n+1}$$

then, as can be easily seen,  $D_{n+1} \subset C_{n+1} - B_n$ ,  $x_{n+1} \notin \overline{C_{n+1} - D_{n+1}}$  and  $\text{diam}(D_{n+1}) \leq d/2^{n+1}$ . By (I) there is a closed ball containing  $\overline{C_{n+1} - D_{n+1}}$  and not containing  $x_{n+1}$ . A concentric  $B_{n+1}$  therefore exists such that  $x_{n+1} \notin \bar{B}_{n+1} \supset B_{n+1} \supset \overline{C_{n+1} - D_{n+1}}$ . Hence  $\text{diam}(S - B_{n+1}) \leq d/2^{n+1}$  and  $B_n \subset C_{n+1} - D_{n+1} \subset B_{n+1}$ .

The sequence  $\{B_n\}$  thus defined satisfies the conditions (1.1) and (1.2). The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is clearly a Cauchy sequence in  $S$  which is eventually in  $X - B_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows from (1.2) that  $s = \lim x_n \in \text{bdry} \left( \bigcup_1^\infty B_n \right)$  so that (1.3) holds too and  $s \in \sigma b(S)$  as asserted.

<sup>1)</sup> I am indebted to Professor L. M. Kelly for this reference.

3. In this section we prove that in Hilbert space  $b(S) \neq \phi$ . To facilitate the proof we bring the following lemma the verification of which is straightforward and omitted.

Lemma 1. Let  $U$  be the open unit ball in the Hilbert space  $H$  and  $x \in \bar{U} - U$ . Suppose  $f(z) = \langle z, x \rangle$ ,  $a > 1$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad \left\| y + \frac{x}{a-1} \right\| < \frac{1}{a-1} + 1 - \frac{\delta}{a}$$

for all  $y$  such that  $\|y\| \leq 1$  and  $f(y) \leq 1 - \delta$ .

A suitable magnification followed by a translation yields the following.

Corollary. Let  $B(c, r) = rU + c$  and  $x_2 = r(1 - (\delta/2a)) + c$  then we obtain from (3.1)

$$(3.2) \quad x_a \notin \overline{B(c', r')} \supset B \subset c', r' \supset \overline{B(c, r) - D}$$

where

$$c' = c - \frac{r}{a-1} \frac{x_a}{\|x_a\|}, \quad r' = r \left( \frac{1}{a-1} + 1 - \frac{\delta}{a} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad D = rE(f, \delta) + c,$$

Thus as  $s \rightarrow \infty$  (and  $r$  remains fixed)  $\|c' - c\|$  tends to zero in the above configuration.

Theorem 2. Let  $S$  be a closed and bounded set in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $b(S) \neq \phi$ .

Proof. Let  $\bar{c}_1$ ,  $\bar{r}_1$  and  $\delta_1$  be as in the proof of Theorem 1. We choose  $a_1$  large enough so as to have  $\bar{r}_1/a_1 - 1 < \frac{1}{2}$  and then  $x_1 \in S$  so that

$$\|x_1 - \bar{c}_1\| \geq \bar{r}_1(1 - (\delta_1/2a_1)).$$

We then define  $c_1$  and  $r_1$  setting

$$c_1 = \bar{c}_1 - \frac{\bar{r}_1}{a_1 - 1} \frac{x_1}{\|x_1\|} \quad \text{and} \quad r_1 + \bar{r}_1 \left( \frac{1}{a_1 - 1} + 1 - \frac{\delta}{a_1} \right).$$

It follows from the preceding corollary that  $\|c_1 - \bar{c}_1\| < \frac{1}{2}$  and

$$x_1 \notin \bar{B}_1 \supset \bar{B}_1 \supset \overline{C_1 - D_1}$$

where, again,  $B_1 = B(c_1, r_1)$ ,  $c_1 = C(\bar{c}_1, \bar{r}_1)$ ,  $D_1 = \bar{r}_1 E(f, \delta) + c_1$  and

$$\text{diam}(S - B_1) \leq d/2.$$

Suppose that  $B_n$  and  $x_n \in S$  are already defined so that  $\text{diam}(S - B_n) \leq d/2^n$ ,  $x_n \notin \bar{B}_n$  and, if  $n \geq 2$ ,  $B_{n-1} \subset B_n$ ; in addition let also  $\|c_n - c_{n-1}\| < 1/2^n$ . Then with  $C_{n+1}$  and  $\delta_{n+1}$  defined as in the proof of Theorem 1  $a_{n+1}$ ,  $x_{n+1}$ ,  $c_{n+1}$  and  $r_{n+1}$  are defined in terms of  $\bar{c}_{n+1} = c_n$ ,  $\bar{r}_{n+1}$  and  $\delta_{n+1}$  in a manner analogous to that used when defining  $a_1$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $c_1$  and  $r_1$  in terms of  $\bar{c}_1$ ,  $\bar{r}_1$  and  $\delta_1$ .

In addition to the properties obtained in section 2 for  $B_n$  and  $x_n$  the sequence  $\{c_n\}$  as presently defined is a Cauchy sequence; hence convergent. It is now clear that if  $c = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n$  and  $s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  then  $B(c, \|c - s\|)$  and  $s$  satisfy the defining conditions for  $s$  to be boundedly exposed.

#### 4. Remarks

4.1 Without some convexity and smoothness assumptions on the Banach space  $X$  Theorem 1 may fail even for compact  $S$ . Indeed if  $X$  is the space of all ordered pairs  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  of real numbers with  $\|x\| = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|)$  and  $S$  is the closed unit ball then as can be easily seen  $\sigma b(S) = \phi$ .

4.2 If  $S$  is assumed to be compact strict convexity of  $X$  will suffice to assure that  $b(S) \neq \phi$ . Indeed let  $c \in X - S$  be arbitrary and let  $r = \sup_s \|c - x\|$ . Then the compactness of  $S$  implies the existence of  $s \in S$  with  $\|s - c\| = r$ . The ball  $B(2c - s, 2r)$  can be seen to satisfy the defining conditions for  $s$  to be boundedly exposed.

*Dalhousie University, Halifax,  
Nova Scotia, Canada*

#### REFERENCES

1. CLARKSON, JAMES A., Uniformly convex spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **40**, 396-414 (1936).
2. DAY, MAHLON M., Normed linear spaces. Acad. Press, New York, 1962.
3. MAZUR, S., Über schwache Konvergenz in den Räumen ( $L^p$ ). Studia Math., **4**, 128-133 (1933).
4. PHELPS, R. R., A representation theorem for bounded convex sets. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **11** 976-983 (1960).
5. RUSTON, A. F., A note on convexity of Banach spaces. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **45**, 157-159 (1949).